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Frames of Influence
Behavior and Anonymity in Urban Life

We are all deeply impacted by our surroundings, to which we adapt by either conforming or resisting. Whether our direct environment is organic or manmade, its influence manifests itself through our behavior and interactions. The artists in this exhibition were inspired to document how people react and interact within their personal “frames of influence.” This interplay between environment and behavior is particularly apparent in urban settings, where the architecture of the built environment either inhibits or encourages the interface between body and city.

Photography as a medium allows the artist to capture instantaneous actions and interactions among people that elucidate patterns in social behaviors. Thanks to photography’s immediacy and its influence on other media, modern art is able to present a commentary on society. The five photographic pieces in this installation reveal behavioral trends that pervade society’s interactions. In many instances, the artist might not even recognize the trend, either because he does not have enough objective perspective or because the trend requires temporal distance in order to be revealed, just as patterns in history can only be deciphered retrospectively. The drawing by John Sloan and etching by August Robert Birmelin have a sketched quality, as they both capture impressions that one gets from the city; its claustrophobia and weight.

These artists illustrate how the structures in the built environment shape our behavior and reflect on the overwhelming sense of anonymity that dominates urban lifestyles. Elliot Erwitt’s Confessional depicts the carving out of relationships through architectural elements. It is unusual for such a private act as the Catholic confession to be held outdoors, never mind on the street corner; the body language of the congregants in the street reflects the tensions that can develop out of the incongruous publicness of the activity. The confessors are brought into the public sphere and stripped of their privacy; though they remain somewhat cloaked in the anonymity that the urban atmosphere provides, the passersby on the street do not seem to notice them. Erwitt’s composition divides public and private spheres, yet it utilizes the viewer’s voyeuristic perspective to juxtapose the two spheres, pointing out the irony that the private is, in fact, public.

Scenes such as Erwitt’s confessional and Garry Winogrand’s woman in a telephone booth present elegant examples of how the built environment causes people to reject social conformity and instead superimpose a more personal interaction with the urban landscape. Although there is truth in Australian philosopher Elizabeth Grosz’s theory that “the city’s form and structure provide the context in which social rules and expectations are internalized or habituated in order to ensure social conformity” (Grosz 1992: 250), the built environment may also encourage us to break those rules. Made of glass, the phone booth is completely open to the gaze of strangers. Yet, just like the confessional box, the continuing space connotes privacy and protection from the outside world, so much so that the woman obliviously lifts her leg to reveal underneath her short skirt. Winogrand emphasizes our ability to feel secluded, private, and faceless on a populated street by choosing an angle at which the black bars of the booth cut off the woman’s eyes and features, rendering her faceless to the audience.

Russian photographer Dmitri Baltermants represented human behavior in society via a career in journalistic photography. The photographers in this exhibition observe the dichotomy between togetherness and separation, intimacy and disparateness, and interpret the city as an amorphous vehicle for creating a rapport among its inhabitants. Lunchtime (1964) shows individuals sitting separately, immersed in their own lunchtime rituals and also visually differentiated by the vertical elements demarcated on the buildings behind them and the vertical bars of the bench on which they sit. Baltermants’s composition supports Grosz’s theory of “a kind of parallelism or isomorphism between the body and the city. The two are understood as analogues, congruent counterparts, in which the features, organization, and characteristics of one are reflected in the other” (Grosz 1992: 246). Figures gather to eat on the same bench, but they remain isolated due to the divisive nature of the city, which insists that people be anonymous. Hyde Park, conversely, demonstrates how certain environments within the city serve to unite individuals. The organic elements of a park bring people together in a more carnal sense. Contrasted with the claustrophobia of the surrounding concrete jungle, the purity of the park becomes the more appropriate space for engaging in physical intimacy.

The oldest piece in this installation relates the most closely to modern architectural concepts. Family on Fire Escape (1922) exhibits the ideology that Rem Koolhaus used for House Inside Out (1992). Koolhaus “suggested that the house be turned inside out and that occupation take place in the city” (quoted in Bell 2004: 39). More recently, the architectural community in their designs has admitted the extent to which human beings relate to their habitations. Architect Michael Bell’s Binocular House (2001) is situated in the woods of Ghent, New York, and made almost entirely of glass, so that the forest is seen through the house. The transparency of Binocular House fuses it seamlessly into its environment and connects us to our surroundings. These buildings are examples of architectural “frames of influence” that force a pattern of behavior onto those who inhabit them. Whether these spaces are built with that purpose in mind or whether they naturally exist in our environment, they will continue to be captured by the scrutiny and analysis of artists.

Jessica Hodin ’07
Levinson Student Intern
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